arXiv:1207.6838v2 [math.OA] 15 Sep 2012

DISCRETE CORES OF TYPE III FREE PRODUCT FACTORS

YOSHIMICHI UEDA

Abstract. We give a general description of the discrete decompositions of type III factors arising as central summands of free product von Neumann algebras based on our previous works, which provides an alternative and natural route to the question that Dykema seriously investigated in the mid 90s.

1. Introduction

The purpose of the present short notes is to give one simple description of the discrete decompositions of type III factors arising as central summands of free product von Neumann algebras based on our previous works [14],[15]. The description provides an alternative (and even more natural) route toward the question that Dykema seriously investigated in [2], with avoiding some difficult points there, and moreover enables us to strengthen his theorem there. Especially, we will be able to determine the discrete cores of those type III factors when initially given von Neumann algebras are hyperfinite but given states on them are assumed only to be almost periodic. Remark here that the almost periodicity of given states is necessary to admit discrete decomposition due to [15, Corollary 3.1]. Although the description given in these notes is an observation naturally arising from our previous works mentioned above, the consequences certainly have some potential in future applications of free product von Neumann algebras.

The present work is obviously a continuation of our previous works [14],[15], and thus we follow the notational rule there. We derive two explicit consequences by utilizing [8] and [3], and those consequences heavily depend on those papers, respectively.

2. Results

Throughout this section, assume that M_1, M_2 are non-trivial σ-finite von Neumann algebras with $(\dim(M_1), \dim(M_2)) \neq (2,2)$ and φ_1, φ_2 are faithful normal states on them, respectively. Let us first summarize the consequences of [14, Theorem 4.1]. The resulting free product $(M,\varphi) = (M_1,\varphi) \star (M_2,\varphi_2)$ has the following structure: $M = M_d \oplus M_c$, where M_d is a multimatrix algebra (that can be described explicitly) and M_c a factor of type II_1 or III_λ $(\lambda \neq 0)$ such that $T(M_c) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, \sigma_t^{\varphi_1} = \mathrm{id} = \sigma_t^{\varphi_2}\}$ and $M_c' \cap M_c^\omega = \mathbb{C}$. In particular, M_c is of type II_1 if and only if both φ_i's are tracial. When the multimatrix algebra part M_d is appeared, the theorem (with its proof) implicitly says the following: there are a unique $i_0 \in \{1,2\}$ and a unique non-zero projection $p \in \mathcal{Z}(M_{i_0})$ so that $M_{i_0}p = \mathbb{C}p$, $1_{M_d} \leq p$ (in M), and hence M_c is stably isomorphic to $p^\perp M p^\perp$ with $p^\perp := 1 - p$. Here Dykema's observation (see e.g. [14, Lemma 2.1]) shows that the pair $(p^\perp M p^\perp, \delta\varphi\restriction_{p^\perp M p^\perp})$ with $\delta := \varphi(p^\perp)^{-1}$ becomes either

$$(M_1p^\perp, \delta\varphi_1\restriction_{M_1p^\perp}) \star (p^\perp N p^\perp, \delta\varphi\restriction_{p^\perp N p^\perp}) \quad \text{or} \quad (p^\perp N p^\perp, \delta\varphi\restriction_{p^\perp N p^\perp}) \star (M_2p^\perp, \delta\varphi_1\restriction_{M_2p^\perp}), \quad (1)$$

Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20540214.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 46L54; secondary: 46L10.

Keywords: Type III factor, Free product, Discrete decomposition.

where the pair $(N, \varphi\restriction_N)$ is

$$(\mathbb{C}p \oplus \mathbb{C}p^\perp, \varphi_1\restriction_{\mathbb{C}p\oplus\mathbb{C}p^\perp}) \star (M_2, \varphi_2) \quad \text{or} \quad (M_1, \varphi_1) \star (\mathbb{C}p \oplus \mathbb{C}p^\perp, \varphi_2\restriction_{\mathbb{C}p\oplus\mathbb{C}p^\perp}), \tag{2}$$

respectively. Consequently, the diffuse factor part M_c is again a free product von Neumann algebra up to stable isomorphism. Hence analysis on M_c comes down to that on some free product factor with care of the descriptions Eq.(1) and Eq.(2). This fact was not explicitly given in [14].

In what follows, assume further that both the M_i's have separable preduals and that the diffuse factor part M_c is of type III, that is, at least one of the φ_i's is not tracial as explained above. The following facts were established in [15, Theorem 2.1, Corollary 3.1]: The diffuse factor part M_c possesses an almost periodic state if and only if both the φ_i's are almost periodic. When this is the case, the restriction $\varphi_c := \varphi\restriction_{M_c}$ becomes almost periodic and $((M_c)_{\varphi_c})' \cap M_c^\omega = \mathbb{C}$; in particular, φ_c is 'extremal', which means that its centralizer becomes an irreducible subfactor of M_c. Hence, if the full type III factor M_c admits discrete decomposition in the sense of Connes [1], then the decomposition is described as follows. In the case, both the given φ_i's must be almost periodic, and Connes's Sd-invariant $\Gamma := \mathrm{Sd}(M_c)$ of M_c becomes the multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ algebraically generated by the point spectra of the modular operators Δ_{φ_i}'s. Denote by β the embedding of Γ to $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ and equip Γ with the discrete topology. By group duality one gets a continuous group homomorphism $\hat{\beta} : \mathbb{R} \to G := \widehat{\Gamma}$ with dense range so that $\langle \gamma, \hat{\beta}(t) \rangle = \gamma^{\sqrt{-1}t}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which gives the continuous group homomorphism $g \in G \mapsto \sigma_g^{\psi,\Gamma}$ into the automorphism group of M or M_i with $\psi := \varphi$ or φ_i, respectively, so that $\sigma_{\hat{\beta}(t)}^{\psi,\Gamma} = \sigma_t^\psi$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\hat{\beta}(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in G, $\psi \circ \sigma_g^{\psi,\Gamma} = \psi$ holds for every $g \in G$ and each $\sigma_g^{\psi,\Gamma}$ fixes any element of the center. See e.g. [1, Proposition 1.1]. The *discrete decomposition* is

$$M_c \cong \big(M_c \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}} G\big) \rtimes_\theta \Gamma \tag{3}$$

with $\theta := \widehat{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}}$, the dual action of $\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}$, which clearly comes from the cerebrated Takesaki duality (see [10, Theorem X.2.3]), and the first crossed product $\widehat{M_c} := M_c \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}} G$ is usually called the *discrete core* of M_c. The decomposition is unique, see [1, Corollary 4.12]. (Remark that our formulation is a little bit different from Connes's, see Theorem 1 (1.4) below, but there is obviously no essential difference.) It turns out that the centralizer $(M_c)_{\varphi_c}$ is stably isomorphic to the discrete core, that is,

$$(M_c)_{\varphi_c} \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} B(\ell^2) \cong M_c \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}} G \tag{4}$$

since $\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}$ is a minimal action (see e.g. the proof of Lemma 3 below). This fact means that the centralizer $(M_c)_{\varphi_c}$ is captured by the discrete core of M_c.

With these preliminary facts we give a description of $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}} G$ instead of $\widehat{M_c}$ itself. It is obviously a discrete decomposition analogue of our old result [12, Theorem 5.1] with extra structural fact (1.3) from [14, Theorem 4.1] and [15, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 1. *Under the assumptions above we have*

$$(M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}} G, E_\varphi) = (M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1,\Gamma}} G, E_{\varphi_1}) \star_{\mathbb{C}\rtimes G} (M_2 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_2,\Gamma}} G, E_{\varphi_2}), \tag{5}$$

where the conditional expectation E_φ is the restriction of the Fibini map $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \mathrm{Id}$ to the crossed product $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}} G$ $(\subseteq M \bar{\otimes} B(L^2(G)))$ and the E_{φ_i}, $i = 1, 2$, are defined just as the restrictions of E_φ to $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i,\Gamma}} G$ $(\subseteq M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi,\Gamma}} G)$. Moreover, we have:

(1.1) *$\mathbb{C} \rtimes G = L(G) \cong \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ by $e_\gamma = \int_G \overline{\langle \gamma, g \rangle} \lambda(g)\, dg \longleftrightarrow \delta_\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with the Haar probability measure dg.*

(1.2) *$M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ is semifinite and possesses a unique faithful normal semifinite tracial weight* Tr *that satisfies* $\mathrm{Tr} \circ E_{\varphi} = \mathrm{Tr}$ *and* $\mathrm{Tr}(e_{\gamma}) = \gamma^{-1}$ *for every* $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

(1.3) *The central decomposition of $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ becomes a direct sum of infinitely many copies of every full matrix algebra summand of M_d and the discrete core $\widehat{M_c}$.*

(1.4) *The dual action θ comes from an action on the smallest algebra $\mathbb{C} \rtimes G$, which satisfies that $\theta_{\gamma}(e_{\gamma'}) = e_{\gamma\gamma'}$ for every $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ and $\mathrm{Tr} \circ \theta_{\gamma} = \gamma^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. Firstly note that $\varphi \circ \sigma_g^{\varphi,\Gamma} = \varphi$ for every $g \in G$ as remarked before. Hence the conditional expectation $E_{\varphi} : M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G \to \mathbb{C} \rtimes G$ makes sense. Then Eq.(5) follows from the almost trivial fact that $M_1 \bar{\otimes} B(L^2(G))$ and $M_2 \bar{\otimes} B(L^2(G))$ are freely independent with amalgamation over $\mathbb{C} \bar{\otimes} B(L^2(G))$ with respect to the Fubini map $\varphi \bar{\otimes} \mathrm{Id}$, see [13, Proposition 1].

The assertion (1.1) is standard.

Let $\widetilde{\varphi}$ be the dual weight on $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ constructed out of φ. See [10, Definition X.1.16]. Since $\varphi \circ \sigma_g^{\varphi,\Gamma} = \varphi$ for every $g \in G$, we have, by [10, Theorem X.1.17], $\sigma_t^{\widetilde{\varphi}}(x) = \sigma_t^{\varphi}(x)$ for every $x \in M \subseteq M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ and $\sigma_t^{\widetilde{\varphi}}(\lambda(g)) = \lambda(g)$ for every $g \in G$, where the $\lambda(g)$'s are the canonical unitary representation of G into $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$. In particular, we get $\sigma_t^{\widetilde{\varphi}} = \mathrm{Ad}\lambda(\hat{\beta}(t))$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This means that the unique non-singular positive self-adjoint operator $H \,\eta\, \mathbb{C} \rtimes G$ with $\lambda(\hat{\beta}(t)) = H^{\sqrt{-1}t}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$ gives a faithful normal semifinite tracial weight $\mathrm{Tr}(-) := \widetilde{\varphi}_{H^{-1}}(-) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \widetilde{\varphi}((H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon} - (H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon})$ with $(H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon} := H^{-1/2}(1 + \varepsilon H^{-1/2})^{-1}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, see [10, Lemma VIII.2.8]. The above formula of $\sigma_t^{\widetilde{\varphi}}$ together with the semifiniteness of the restriction $\widetilde{\varphi} \restriction_{\mathbb{C} \rtimes G}$ shows the existence of a faithful normal conditional expectation $E : M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G \to \mathbb{C} \rtimes G$. For every continuous function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ and for every $x \in M \subseteq M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ one has $\widetilde{\varphi}(E(x) \int_G f(g)\lambda(g)\,dg) = \widetilde{\varphi}(\int_G f(g)x\lambda(g)\,dg) = \varphi(x)f(e) = \varphi(x)\widetilde{\varphi}(\int_G f(g)\lambda(g)\,dg)$ so that $E(x) = \varphi(x)1 = E_{\varphi}(x)$. It immediately follows that $E = E_{\varphi}$, and hence $E_{\varphi} = E$ preserves the Tr, since $(H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{C} \rtimes G$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Notice that $\lambda(g)e_{\gamma} = \int_G \overline{\langle \gamma, g' \rangle}\lambda(gg')\,dg' = \int_G \overline{\langle \gamma, g^{-1}g' \rangle}\lambda(g')\,dg' = \langle \gamma, g \rangle e_{\gamma}$, implying $\lambda(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma, g \rangle e_{\gamma}$ for every $g \in G$. Thus $H^{\sqrt{-1}t} = \lambda(\hat{\beta}(t)) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma, \hat{\beta}(t) \rangle e_{\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^{\sqrt{-1}t} e_{\gamma}$ so that $H = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma\, e_{\gamma}$. Since $(H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon} e_{\gamma} (H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\gamma^{-1}}{(1+\varepsilon\gamma^{-1/2})^2} e_{\gamma}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we get $\mathrm{Tr}(e_{\gamma}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \widetilde{\varphi}((H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon} e_{\gamma} (H^{-1/2})_{\varepsilon}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \frac{\gamma^{-1}}{(1+\varepsilon\gamma^{-1/2})^2} \widetilde{\varphi}(e_{\gamma}) = \gamma^{-1}\varphi(1) = \gamma^{-1}$. Obviously the Tr is uniquely determined by $\mathrm{Tr} \circ E_{\varphi} = \mathrm{Tr}$ and $\mathrm{Tr} \restriction_{\mathbb{C} \rtimes G}$. Hence we have obtained the assertion (1.2).

The assertion (1.3) is seen as follow. We have $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G = (M_d \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G) \oplus (M_c \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G) \cong (M_d \bar{\otimes} \ell^{\infty}(\Gamma)) \oplus (M_c \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G)$, where the last isomorphism comes from [10, Theorem X.1.7 (ii)], since $\sigma_g^{\varphi,\Gamma}$ fixes any element in $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ and hence its restriction to M_d must be inner for every $g \in G$. Then $M_d \bar{\otimes} \ell^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ is a direct sum of infinitely many copies of every full matrix algebra summand in M_d, and $M_c \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ is already known to be the discrete core of the diffuse factor part M_c.

The dual action θ is constructed by $\theta_{\gamma}(\lambda(g)) = \overline{\langle \gamma, g \rangle}\lambda(g)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and every $g \in G = \widehat{\Gamma}$. As above $\lambda(g) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma', g \rangle\, e_{\gamma'}$ so that $\theta_{\gamma}(\lambda(g)) = \overline{\langle \gamma, g \rangle} \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma', g \rangle\, e_{\gamma'} = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma^{-1}\gamma', g \rangle\, e_{\gamma'} = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma} \langle \gamma', g \rangle\, e_{\gamma\gamma'}$, implying $\theta_{\gamma}(e_{\gamma'}) = e_{\gamma\gamma'}$. We have $\mathrm{Tr} \circ \theta_{\gamma}(e_{\gamma'}) = \mathrm{Tr}(e_{\gamma\gamma'}) = \gamma^{-1}\gamma'^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}(e_{\gamma'})$, and hence $\mathrm{Tr} \circ \theta_{\gamma} = \gamma^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}$, since $\theta_{\gamma} \restriction_M = \mathrm{Id}_M$. Therefore, we have obtained the assertion (1.4). □

The above theorem says that analysis on the discrete core $\widehat{M_c}$ or equivalently the centralizer $(M_c)_{\varphi_c}$ essentially comes down to that on semifinite tracial amalgamated free products over atomic abelian von Neumann algebras. This is very fruitful; in fact, we have the next strengthened assertion of [2, Theorem 3]. The proof below is completely independent of [2], but heavily depends on a recent work due to Redelmeier [8] that completes Dykema's program of analysis on a certain class of tracial amalgamated free products based on free probability machinery. The algorithm to determine explicit algebraic structure in [8] seems complicated, but what we actually need here is only that the class "$\mathcal{R}_4$" consisting of all countable direct sums of semifinite hyperfinite von Neumann algebras with separable preduals and/or (possibly ∞-) amplifications of interpolated free group factors (see [8, §2]) is closed under taking semifinite tracial amalgamated free products with amalgamation over atomic abelian von Neumann subalgebras, since we have already known some details on the structure of $M \rtimes_{\sigma^\sigma,\Gamma} G$.

Theorem 2. *Let M_1, M_2 be von Neumann algebras with separable preduals and φ_1, φ_2 be faithful normal states on them, respectively. Assume that at least one of the φ_i's is not tracial, and further that each (M_i, φ_i) is either*

- (i) *M_i is hyperfinite and φ_i arbitrary almost periodic (or tracial);*
- (ii) *M_i is an amplification of an interpolated free group factor and φ_i arbitrary almost periodic (or tracial);*
- (iii) *M_i is a full type III factor with admitting discrete decomposition whose discrete core is isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)\,\bar{\otimes}\,B(\ell^2)$, and φ_i arbitrary almost periodic; or*
- (iv) *a countable direct sum of pairs from (i)–(iii).*

Then the diffuse factor part M_c of the free product $(M, \varphi) = (M_1, \varphi_1) \star (M_2, \varphi_2)$ is of type III and admits discrete decomposition whose discrete core $\widehat{M_c} \cong (M_c)_{\varphi_c} \bar{\otimes} B(\ell^2)$ is stably isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$.

The above theorem in particular shows that the class of countable direct sums of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras with separable preduals, amplifications of interpolated free group factors and/or full type III factors with admitting discrete decomposition whose discrete cores are $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)\,\bar{\otimes}\,B(\ell^2)$ is closed under taking free products with respect to arbitrary almost periodic states.

We need a lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let N be a von Neumann algebra and α be a (not necessarily faithful) action on N of a compact abelian group G with Haar probability measure dg. Assume that the fixed-point algebra N^α is irreducible in N, that is, $(N^\alpha)' \cap N = \mathbb{C}$. Then the crossed product $N \rtimes_\alpha G$ is a (possibly countably infinite) direct sum of amplifications of N^α.*

Proof. By [13, Appendix] we have $\mathcal{Z}(N \rtimes_\alpha G) = \mathbb{C}1_N \,\bar{\otimes}\, C$ with a certain von Neumann subalgebra C of $L(G)$. Since $L(G) \cong \ell^\infty(\widehat{G})$, C must be an atomic abelian von Neumann algebra. Choose each minimal projection $z \in C$. Then one can choose a minimal projection $e_\gamma = \int_G \overline{\langle g, \gamma\rangle}\lambda(g)\,dg \in \mathbb{C} \rtimes G = \mathbb{C}1_N \bar{\otimes} L(G)$ labelled by $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$ so that $e_\gamma \leq z$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} e_\gamma x e_\gamma &= \int_{G\times G} \overline{\langle g_1 g_2, \gamma\rangle}\alpha_{g_1}(x)\lambda(g_1 g_2)\,dg_1 dg_2 \\ &= \int_G \left[\int_G \alpha_{g_1}(x)\,\overline{\langle g_2, \gamma\rangle}\lambda(g_2)\,dg_2\right] dg_1 = E_{N^\alpha}(x) e_\gamma \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in N \subseteq N \rtimes_\alpha G$, where $E_{N^\alpha}(-) = \int_G \alpha_g(-)\,dg$ is a unique faithful normal conditional expectation from N onto N^α. Hence $e_\gamma(M \rtimes_\alpha G)e_\gamma = N^\alpha e_\gamma \cong N^\alpha$ is immediate. This argument is borrowed from [4, Theorem 3.1]. Hence $(N \rtimes_\alpha G)z$ is stably isomorphic to $e_\gamma(M \rtimes_\alpha G)e_\gamma \cong N^\alpha$, since z is the central support of e_γ. □

Proof. (Theorem 2) Let us first prove that both $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i},\Gamma} \Gamma$ falls in the class $\mathcal{R}_4$ introduced in [8]. Firstly, assume that (M_i, φ_i) is of the form (i). Since G is abelian, $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i},\Gamma} G$ must be hyperfinite by Connes's theorem (see e.g [11, Theorem XV.3.16]) so that it falls in the class $\mathcal{R}_4$, since we have known that it is semifinite, see Theorem 1. Secondly, assume that (M_i, φ_i) is of the form (ii). Then σ_t^{φ} is inner for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence so is $\sigma_g^{\varphi_i,\Gamma}$ for every $g \in G$ since M_i is full, that is, $\mathrm{Int}(M_i)$ is closed. Therefore, by (the proof of) [1, Lemma 4.2] and [10, Theorem X.1.7 (ii)] one has $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i},\Gamma} G \cong M_i \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} L(G) \cong M_i \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$, which falls in the class $\mathcal{R}_4$. Thirdly, assume that (M_i, φ_i) is of the form (iii). By assumption we may and do assume that $M_i = (L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} B(\ell^2)) \rtimes_\rho \Lambda$ with $\Lambda = \mathrm{Sd}(M_i)$ and $\mathrm{tr} \circ \rho_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}\mathrm{tr}$ $(\lambda \in \Lambda)$, where tr is a faithful normal semifinite tracial weight on $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} B(\ell^2)$. We may and do also assume that $e := 1 \otimes e_0 \in L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} B(\ell^2)$ with minimal $e_0 \in B(\ell^2)^p$ takes $\mathrm{tr}(e) = 1$. Let $E : M_i \to L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} B(\ell^2)$ be the canonical conditional expectation, and set $\psi := \mathrm{tr} \circ E \restriction_{eM_ie}$ with the above e. By [10, Lemma X.1.13, Theorem X.1.17] one can easily see that ψ is extremal Λ-almost periodic and $(eM_ie)_\psi = L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \mathbin{\bar{\otimes}} \mathbb{C}e_0 \cong L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. Since $eM_ie \cong M_i$, we get an extremal Λ-almost periodic state φ_{i0} on M_i whose centralizer $(M_i)_{\varphi_{i0}}$ is isomorphic to $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. By definition Λ must sit in Γ, and hence φ_{i0} is extremal Γ-almost periodic too. By [1, Lemma 4.2] and [10, Theorem X.1.7 (ii)] we get $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i},\Gamma} G \cong M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{i0}},\Gamma} G$, which is a direct sum of amplifications of $(M_i)^{\sigma^{\varphi_{i0},\Gamma}} = (M_i)_{\varphi_{i0}} \cong L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ thanks to Lemma 3. Therefore, $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i},\Gamma} G$ falls in the class $\mathcal{R}_4$. Finally, assume that (M_i, φ_i) is of the form (vi). Clearly $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i},\Gamma} G$ is a direct sum of crossed products by G considered above, since each $\sigma_g^{\varphi_i,\Gamma}$ fixes any element of $\mathcal{Z}(M_i)$. Consequently, $M_i \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_i},\Gamma} G$ falls in the class $\mathcal{R}_4$.

By Theorem 1, Eq.(5), together with the above discussion we observe that $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ is a semifinite tracial amalgamated free product von Neumann algebra of two von Neumann algebras in the class $\mathcal{R}_4$ with amalgamation over an atomic abelian von Neumann subalgebra, and hence Redelmeier's theorem [8, Theorem 4.6] shows that $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ falls again in the class $\mathcal{R}_4$ so that by Theorem 1 (1.3) the discrete core $\widehat{M_c}$ is stably isomorphic to either the unique hyperfinite type II_1 factor or an interpolated free group factor. However, we have already known that $(M_c)_{\varphi_c}$ has no central sequence as remarked before Theorem 1, and hence by Eq.(4) $\widehat{M_c}$ must be stably isomorphic to an interpolated free group factor $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$. By means of discrete decomposition the fundamental group of this $L(\mathbb{F}_r)$ must contain $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$, and thus $r = \infty$ by the famous dichotomy due to Dykema and Rădulescu, see [7, Corollary 4.7]. $\square$

The case when one of the φ_i's is tracial is important in actual applications of type III free product factors. In fact, any free Araki–Woods factor with admitting discrete decomposition is of such form ([9]) and also any countable discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ can be realized as the fundamental group of the centralizer of such a free product factor ([5]). Hence we give another corollary which deals with the case when φ_1 is (non-tracial) almost periodic and φ_2 is tracial. The next proposition follows from Dykema's result [3].

Proposition 4. (1) *Assume that M_1 is a type III factor, φ_1 is extremal almost periodic, M_2 is either diffuse hyperfinite or a type II_1 factor, and finally φ_2 tracial. Then M is a type III factor with admitting discrete decomposition, and the centralizer M_φ of the free product state φ is isomorphic to*

$$\begin{cases} (M_1)_{\varphi_1} \star L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) & (\textit{when } M_2 \textit{ is diffuse hyperfinite}), \\ (M_1)_{\varphi_1} \star \left(\bigstar_{\gamma\in\Gamma} (M_2)^\gamma\right) & (\textit{when } M_2 \textit{ is a type } II_1 \textit{ factor}) \end{cases}$$

with $\Gamma := \mathrm{Sd}(M)$, where $(M_2)^\gamma$ means the γ-amplification of the type II_1 factor M_2.

(2) *Assume that M_1 is finite dimensional or atomic type I with at least one type I_∞ direct summand, φ_1 is not tracial (almost periodic), and M_2 is a type II_1 factor with tracial φ_2. Then M is a type III factor with admitting discrete decomposition, and the centralizer M_φ of the free product state φ is isomorphic to $\bigstar_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(M_2)^\gamma$, where Γ and $(M_2)^\gamma$ are as in (1).*

Proof. (1) By [14, Theorem 4.1] and Theorem 1 $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ is a type II_∞ factor and also a semifinite tracial amalgamated free product of $M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G$ and $M_2 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_2},\Gamma} G$ with amalgamation over an atomic abelian von Neumann subalgebra. In this case $M_2 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_2},\Gamma} G$ is nothing but a direct sum of infinitely many copies of M_2. Moreover, the point spectrum of the modular operator Δ_{φ_1} becomes Γ (due to the extremality of φ_1) and $e_1(M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G)e_1 \cong (M_1)_{\varphi_1}$ due to the proof of Lemma 3. Since $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \mathrm{Tr}(e_\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \gamma^{-1} = +\infty$ by Theorem 1 (1.1), the desired assertion immediately follows from [3, Corollary 2.2] and its proof (strictly speaking it shows only the latter case, but the proof perfectly works for the former too).

(2) As in the proof of Theorem 2 one can see that $M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G \cong M_1 \bar{\otimes} \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$, an multimatrix algebra. Moreover, Theorem 1 and e.g. [16, Theorem 4.3 (1)] show that $\mathcal{Z}(M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G) \cap (\mathbb{C} \rtimes G) = \mathbb{C}$, since $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$ has been known to be a factor. Hence [3, Corollary 3.2 (B)] can be applied to $M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi},\Gamma} G$. However one needs to confirm one of the conditions (i)–(iii) there. To do so one needs to look at $M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G \supseteq \mathbb{C} \rtimes G$ and the Tr constructed in Theorem 1 (1.1) in detail. Write $M_1 = \sum_{k\geq 1}^{\oplus} B(\mathcal{H}_k)$, and for each $k \geq 1$ one can choose a complete set of minimal projections $f_{k1}, f_{k2}, \dots \in B(\mathcal{H}_k) \subset M_1$ and a decreasing sequence $\gamma_{k1} = 1 \geq \gamma_{k2} \geq \cdots > 0$ in Γ so that the density matrix of $\varphi_1 \restriction_{B(\mathcal{H}_k)}$ is diagonalized as $c_k \sum_{j\geq 1} \gamma_{kj} f_{kj}$. Then the bijective $*$-homomorphism $M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G \cong M_1 \bar{\otimes} L(G)$ is given by sending $x \in M_1 \subset M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G$ and $\lambda(g)$ to $x \otimes 1$ and $u(g) \otimes \lambda_g$ with $u(g) = \sum_{k\geq 1}\sum_{j\geq 1} \langle \gamma_{kj}, g\rangle f_{kj}$, where the λ_g, $g \in G$, denote the (left) regular representation of G on $L^2(G)$. Hence $e_\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \rtimes G \subset M_1 \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_1},\Gamma} G$ is transformed to $p_\gamma := \sum_{k\geq 1}\sum_{j\geq 1} f_{kj} \otimes \delta_{\gamma_{kj}^{-1}\gamma} \in M_1 \bar{\otimes} \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and the Tr to the trace Tr_0 determined by $\mathrm{Tr}_0(f_{kj} \otimes \delta_\gamma) = \mathrm{Tr}_0(f_{kj} \otimes 1 \cdot p_{\gamma_{kj}\gamma})\,(= \mathrm{Tr}(E_{\varphi_1}(f_{kj})e_{\gamma_{kj}\gamma}) = c_k\gamma_{kj}\mathrm{Tr}(e_{\gamma_{kj}\gamma})\,) = c_k/\gamma$ for every (k,j) and every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where the δ_γ, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, are the canonical minimal projections of $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$. Assume first that M_1 is finite dimensional, that is, $1 \leq j \leq d_k := \dim(\mathcal{H}_k) < \infty$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then any minimal subprojection, say q, in M_1 of e_γ has $\mathrm{Tr}(q) \geq \delta/\gamma$ with $\delta := \min\{c_k\gamma_{kj} \,|\, 1 \leq j \leq d_k,\ 1 \leq k \leq n\} > 0$, and hence the condition (ii) in [3, Corollary 3.2 (B)] certainly holds. Assume next that M_1 has a type I_∞ direct summand. In the case we may and do assume that $\dim(\mathcal{H}_1) = \infty$, and the $\gamma_{11} = 1 \geq \gamma_{12} \geq \cdots$ contain infinitely many different positive real numbers $\gamma_{1j_1} \gneqq \gamma_{1j_2} \gneqq \cdots$. For each γ_{1j_i} one observes that $f_{11} \otimes \delta_1 (\leq p_1)$ is equivalent (in $M_1 \bar{\otimes} \ell^\infty(\Gamma)$) to $f_{1j_i} \otimes \delta_1 (\leq p_{\gamma_{1j_i}})$ and moreover that $\mathrm{Tr}_0(f_{11} \otimes \delta_1) = c_1$. Hence the condition (ii) in [3, Corollary 3.2 (B)] trivially holds. □

The above assertion (2) is enough in practice, but it probably holds even when M_1 is an arbitrary atomic type I von Neumann algebra. To prove in such a generality it seems to be a key how to find a suitable enumeration on each I_n (see the Appendix for this 'I_n') together with a minimal subprojection of each p_γ ($\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$) that plays a role of '$e_m$' in the proof of [3, Proposition 3.1]. This is suggested by the following affirmative example: $M_1 = \sum_{k\geq 1}^{\oplus} M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the density matrix of the restriction of φ_1 to each k-th $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is $\frac{1}{2^k(1+\gamma^k)}(e_{11} + \gamma^k e_{22})$ $(0 < \gamma < 1)$ with standard matrix units e_{ij} in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Let Γ be an arbitrary countable subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$, and enumerate $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\} = \Gamma \cap (0,1)$. Consider $M_1 := B(\ell^2) \oplus \sum_{k\geq 2}^{\oplus} M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the state φ_1 is given by the density matrix:

$$\Big[\frac{1-\gamma_1}{2}\sum_{m\geq 0} \gamma_1^m e_{mm}^{(1)}\Big] \oplus \sum_{k\geq 2}^{\oplus} \frac{1}{2^k(1+\gamma_k)}(e_{11}^{(k)} + \gamma_k e_{22}^{(k)})$$

with standard matrix units $e_{ij}^{(1)}$ in $B(\ell^2)$ and $e_{ij}^{(k)}$ in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Then the pair (M_1, φ_1) fulfills the requirement of the above assertion (2), and thus the centralizer of the resulting free product state is $\bigstar_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(M_2)^\gamma$. This explains a relationship between [6, Corollary 6.5 (4)] and [5, Theorem 5.10].

3. Appendix

We used [3, Corollary 3.2], a corollary of Proposition 3.1 there, in the proof of Proposition 4 (2) above, but that proposition 3.1 needs the following (*): 'For every $m \in I \setminus \{1\}$, there exists a minimal projection e_m in A such that $e_m \leq p_1 + \cdots + p_{m-1}$ and e_m is equivalent to a subprojection of p_m' with the notations there. Such e_m does not exist for an arbitrary enumeration (or ordering by natural numbers) on $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$, and it seems not so easy in general to choose a special enumeration on $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ for which (*) holds, when I is infinite. For the reader's convenience we explain how to avoid (*) as follows. In what follows we follow the notations in [3, §3].

Lemma 5. *Fix a distinguished element* $o \in I$. *Define* $I_0 := \{o\}$, $I_1 := \{i \in I \setminus I_0 \,|\, p(0)Ap_i \neq \{0\}\}$ *with* $p(0) := p_o$ *and inductively* $I_{n+1} := \{i \in I \setminus \bigcup_{s=0}^n I_s \,|\, p(n)Ap_i \neq \{0\}\}$ *with* $p(n) := \sum_{s=0}^n \sum_{i \in I_s} p_i$. *Then* $I = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} I_n$, *a disjoint union.*

Proof. Set $I_\infty := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} I_n$, $p := \sum_{i \in I_\infty} p_i$ and $q := \sum_{i \in I \setminus I_\infty} p_i$. Clearly $p \neq 0$, and by the construction of the I_n's and $p(n)$'s we have $p(n)Ap_i = \{0\}$ for every $i \in I \setminus I_\infty$. Since $p(n) \nearrow p$ as $n \to \infty$, we conclude that $pAp_i = \{0\}$ for every $i \in I \setminus I_\infty$. Similarly we have $pAq = \{0\}$, implying $c_A(p)c_A(q) = 0$. Since $p + q = 1$, $p \leq c_A(p)$, $q \leq c_A(q)$ and $c_A(p)c_A(q) = 0$, we observe that $p = c_A(p), q = c_A(q) \in B \cap \mathscr{Z}(A) = \mathbb{C}$, and hence $q = 0$, implying $I = I_\infty$. □

The decomposition $I = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} I_n$ is used, and the new well-ordering on I is made in such a way that every I_n is $\{(n,1),(n,2),\dots\}$ and that $(n_1, m_1) < (n_2, m_2)$ is defined by $n_1 < n_2$, or $n_1 = n_2$ and $m_1 < m_2$. (Note that if all I_n's are finite sets, our ordering can become a desired choice of enumeration on I with (*), and thus the proof of [3, Proposition 3.1] works just as it is.) With this new ordering and replacing p_1 by $p_{(0,1)} = p(0) = p_o$ the same argument as in [3, Proposition 3.1] still essentially works with reading the 'm' and '$m+1$' there as $(n,m), (n,m+1) \in I_n$, respectively, since the same assertion (*) holds for our new ordering. To be more precise the following slight modifications are necessary: With identifying $1 = (0,1)$, $m = (n,m)$ and $m+1 = (n,m+1)$ we follow the proof of [3, Proposition 3.1] for a while. For each $k \in K_m^{(1)}$ one can find $\langle k \rangle \in I$ with $\langle k \rangle \leq m$ in such a way that $v_k^* v_k \leq p_{\langle k \rangle}$. By the same argument there, we get [3, Eq.(11)] with replacing $p_{[1,m]}$, $\mathcal{P}(m)$ and $\beta[1,m]$ by $p_{\{1,\langle k(0) \rangle\}} := p_1 + p_{\langle k(0) \rangle}$, $p_{\{1,\langle k(0) \rangle\}} \mathcal{P}(m) p_{\{1,\langle k(0) \rangle\}}$ and $\beta(1) + \beta(\langle k(0) \rangle)$, respectively, and hence

$$p_1 \mathcal{R}(0) p_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1 \mathcal{P}(m) p_1 \star \Big[\frac{\gamma(m+1)}{\beta(1)}, \mathcal{N}(m+1)_{\frac{\gamma(m+1)}{\beta(m+1)}}\Big].$$

Similarly, one has [3, Eq.(12)] with replacing $p_{[1,m]}$ and $\beta[1,m]$ by $p_1 + p_{\langle k(i) \rangle}$ and $\beta(1) + \beta(\langle k(i) \rangle)$, respectively, and thus

$$p_1 \mathcal{R}(i) p_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1 \mathcal{R}(i-1) p_1 \star L(\mathbb{F}_{(\alpha(i)/\beta(1))^2}).$$

These two isomorphisms immediately give [3, Eq.(14)]. In this way, one can avoid the use of '$\beta[0,m]$' which may become $+\infty$ in our ordering. Set $\mathcal{P}_n := q_n Q \vee q_n A q_n$ with $q_n := \sum_{i < (n,1)} p_i = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i \in I_\ell} p_i$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. By taking the inductive limit as $m \to \infty$ on I_n we get, with our notations,

$$p_o \mathcal{P}_{n+1} p_o \xrightarrow{\sim} p_o \mathcal{P}_n p_o \star L(\mathbb{F}_{r_n}) \star \Big(\bigstar_{i \in I_n} \Big[\frac{\gamma(i)}{\beta(o)}, Q(i)_{\frac{\gamma(i)}{\beta(i)}}\Big]\Big),$$

where $r_n := \frac{1}{\beta(o)^2} \sum_{i \in I_n} (\beta(i)^2 - \gamma(i)^2 - \sum_{j \in J_i} \alpha(j)^2)$ with $J_o := \{j \in J \,|\, q_j p_o \neq 0\}$, $J_i := \{j \in J \,|\, q_j p_i \neq 0,\ q_j p_{i'} = 0\,(i' < i)\}$ $(i \in I \setminus \{o\})$. Since the $\mathcal{P}_n$'s generate the whole $\mathcal{P}$ as a von

Neumann algebra, by taking the inductive limit as $n \to \infty$ one gets the desired formula:

$$p_o\mathcal{P}p_o \cong Q(o) \star L(\mathbb{F}_r) \star \Big(\bigstar_{i\in I\setminus\{o\}}\Big[\frac{\gamma(i)}{\beta(o)}, Q(i)_{\frac{\gamma(i)}{\beta(i)}}\Big]\Big)$$

with $r = \frac{1}{\beta(o)^2}\big((\beta(o)^2 - \sum_{j\in J_o}\alpha(j)^2) + \sum_{i\in I\setminus\{o\}}(\beta(i)^2 - \gamma(i)^2 - \sum_{j\in J_i}\alpha(j)^2)\big)$. In this way, the argument of [3, Proposition 3.1] still works without using (*).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Ken Dykema for e-mail correspondences at the final stage of preparing our previous paper [14] and some discussions on the materials in the Appendix. We also thank Cyril Houdayer for some discussions during the von Neumann algebras/ergodic theory program held at IHP in 2011.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Connes, Almost periodic states and factors of type III_1, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **16** (1974), 415–445.

[2] K. Dykema, Free products of finite-dimensional and other von Neumann algebras with respect to non-tracial states, *Free probability theory* (*Waterloo, ON*, 1995), 41–88, *Fields Inst. Commun.*, **12**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[3] K. Dykema, Subfactors of free products of rescalings of a II_1 factors, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **136** (2004), 643–656.

[4] Y. Haga, Crossed products of von Neumann algebras by compact groups, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, **28** (1976), 511–522.

[5] C. Houdayer, Construction of type II_1 factors with prescribed countable fundamental group, *J. reine angew. Math.* **634** (2009), 169–207.

[6] A. Ioana, J. Peterson and S. Popa, Amalgamated free products of weakly rigid factors and calculation of their symmetry groups, *Acta Math.*, **200** (2008), 85–153.

[7] F. Rădulescu, Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors of the von Neumann algebra of a free group of noninteger index, *Invent. Math.*, **115** (1994), 347–389.

[8] D. Redelmeier, The amalgamated free product of semifinite hyperfinite von Neumann algebras over atomic type I subalgebras, arXiv:1207.1117.

[9] D. Shlyakhtenko, Free quasi-free states, *Pacific J. Math.*, **177** (1997), 329–368.

[10] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras*, II, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, 125, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 6, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[11] M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras*, III. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 127. Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, 8. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[12] Y. Ueda, Amalgamated free product over Cartan subalgebra, *Pacific J. Math.*, **191** (1999), 359–392.

[13] Y. Ueda, On the fixed-point algebra under a minimal free product-type action of quantum group $\mathrm{SU}_q(n)$, IMRN, **2000** (2000), 35–56.

[14] Y. Ueda, Factoriality, type classification and fullness for free product von Neumann algebras, *Adv. Math.*, **228** (2011), 2647–2671.

[15] Y. Ueda, On type III_1 factors arising as free products, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **18** (2011), 909–920.

[16] Y. Ueda, Some analysis on amalgamated free products of von Neumann algebras in non-tracial setting, arXiv:1203.1806.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, 810-8560, JAPAN
E-mail address: `ueda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`